THEORETICAL AND METHODOLOGICAL FOUNDATIONS OF PRACTICAL COURSES IN FOREIGN LANGUAGES



Ramila Aliyeva¹ Ilhama Khasiyeva²

UDC: 37.022:811 **LBC:** 74.268.1

HoS: 74

DOI: 10.33864/2790-0037.2025.v6.i3.5-13

Keywords:

Foreign language teaching, Curriculum development, Communicative competence, Language pedagogy, Methodology, CEFR. Educational technology

ABSTRACT

In an increasingly interconnected and globalized world, cross-linguistic communication skills have become not only desirable but also a fundamental necessity. This article examines the theoretical and methodological foundations of practical foreign language teaching courses. Special attention is given to teaching the language not merely as an academic subject but as a means of communication. Based on wellknown linguistic theories and international teaching article analyzes various pedagogical practices, the frameworks and evaluates the structure of language teaching same time, it identifies existing programs. At the and inconsistencies offers in program design recommendations to improve alignment with teaching objectives, the effectiveness of outcomes, and the integration of modern educational technologies. The main argument is that a well-structured curriculum grounded in theoretical and methodological principles plays a decisive role in developing learners' communicative competence.

Lecturer of the National Defense University; Baku, Azerbaijan

E-mail: ramila.ali08@gmail.com https://orcid.org/0009-0008-7152-3489

E-mail: ilhame.khasiyeva@gmail.com https://orcid.org/0009-0000-1184-6229

To cite this article: Aliyeva, R., & Khasiyeva, I. [2025]. Theoretical and Methodological Foundations of Practical Courses in Foreign Languages. History of Science journal, 6(3), pp.5-13.

https://doi.org/10.33864/2790-0037.2025.v6.i3.5-13

Article history: Received: 17.05.2025 Accepted: 01.09.2025



Copyright: © 2025 by AcademyGate Publishing. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the CC BY-NC 4.0. For details on this license, please visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

¹ Doctor of Philosophy in Geography,

² Lecturer of the National Defense University; Baku, Azerbaijan

XARİCİ DİLLƏRİN PRAKTİKİ KURSLARININ NƏZƏRİ VƏ METODOLOJİ ƏSASLARI



Ramilə Əliyeva¹ Dihamə Xasiyeva² D

UOT: 37.022:811 **KBT:** 74.268.1

HoS: 74

DOI: 10.33864/2790-0037.2025.v6.i3.5-13

Açar sözlər:

Xarici dil tədrisi, Kurikulum inkişafi, Kommunikativ kompetensiya, Dil pedaqogikası, Metodologiya, CEFR, Təhsil texnologiyası

ANNOTASİYA

Getdikcə daha çox bir-birinə bağlı və qloballaşan dünyada dillərarası ünsiyyət bacarığı yalnız arzuolunan deyil, həm də əsas zərurətə çevrilmişdir. Bu məqalədə xarici dillərin praktiki tədris kurslarının nəzəri və metodoloji əsasları araşdırılır. Dilin sadəcə akademik deyil, kommunikasiya vasitəsi kimi öyrədilməsinə xüsusi diqqət yetirilir. Məqalə tanınmış dilçilik nəzəriyyələri və beynəlxalq tədris təcrübələrinə əsaslanaraq müxtəlif pedaqoji çərçivələri təhlil edir və dil tədrisi proqramlarının strukturunu qiymətləndirir. Eyni zamanda, proqram dizaynında mövcud uyğunsuzluqları müəyyən edir və tədris məqsədlərinə uyğunluğu, nəticələrin səmərəliliyini və müasir təhsil texnologiyalarının inteqrasiyasını yaxşılaşdırmaq üçün tövsiyələr verir. Əsas arqument odur ki, nəzəri və metodoloji prinsiplərə əsaslanan düzgün qurulmuş kurikulum öyrənənlərdə kommunikativ bacarığın inkişafında həlledici rol oynayır.

Milli Müdafiə Universitetinin müəllimi; Bakı, Azərbaycan

E-mail: ramila.ali08@gmail.com https://orcid.org/0009-0008-7152-3489

² Milli Müdafiə Universitetinin müəllimi; Bakı, Azərbaycan

E-mail: <u>ilhame.khasiyeva@gmail.com</u> https://orcid.org/0009-0000-1184-6229

Məqaləyə istinad: Əliyeva, R., & Xasiyeva, İ. [2025]. Xarici Dillərin Praktiki Kurslarının Nəzəri və Metodoloji Əsasları. History of Science jurnalı, 6(3), səh.5-13.

https://doi.org/10.33864/2790-0037.2025.v6.i3.5-13

Məqalənin tarixçəsi:

Məqalə redaksiyaya daxil olmuşdur: 17.05.2025 Təkrar işlənməyə göndərilmişdir: 18.06.2025 Çapa qəbul edilmişdir: 01.09.2025



Copyright: © 2025 by AcademyGate Publishing. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the CC BY-NC 4.0. For details on this license, please visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

¹ Coğrafiya üzrə fəlsəfə doktoru,

ТЕОРЕТИЧЕСКИЕ И МЕТОДОЛОГИЧЕСКИЕ ОСНОВЫ ПРАКТИЧЕСКИХ КУРСОВ ИНОСТРАННЫХ ЯЗЫКОВ



Рамиля Алиева¹

Ильхама Хасиева²

УДК: 37.022:811 **ББК:** 74.268.1

HoS: 74

DOI: 10.33864/2790-0037.2025.v6.i3.5-13

Ключевые слова:

Преподавание иностранных языков, Разработка учебных программ, Коммуникативная компетенция, Языковая педагогика, Методология, CEFR, Образовательные технологии

АННОТАЦИЯ

В современном мире, который становится всё более взаимосвязанным и глобализированным, способность общаться на разных языках является не просто желаемым навыком, а жизненно необходимым. В данной статье рассматриваются теоретические И методологические основы практических курсов иностранных языков с акцентом на использование языка как средства общения, а исключительно как академической Основываясь на ведущих лингвистических теориях и международных педагогических практиках, автор анализирует различные учебные модели и оценивает языковых программ. Также выявляются структуру распространённые недостатки в проектировании учебных планов и предлагаются рекомендации по улучшению их эффективности согласованности, И интеграции современных образовательных технологий. Основной тезис статьи заключается в том, что хорошо структурированная программа обучения, основанная на надёжных теоретических и методологических принципах, является ключом к развитию коммуникативной компетенции у учащихся.

Преподаватель Национального университета обороны; Баку, Азербайджан

E-mail: ramila.ali08@gmail.com https://orcid.org/0009-0008-7152-3489

² Преподаватель Национального университета обороны; Баку, Азербайджан

E-mail: <u>ilhame.khasiyeva@gmail.com</u> https://orcid.org/0009-0000-1184-6229

Цитировать статью: Алиева, Р., & Хасиева, И. [2025]. Теоретические и Методологические Основы Практических Курсов Иностранных Языков. *Журнал History of Science*, 6(3), с.5-13.

 $\underline{https://doi.org/10.33864/2790\text{-}0037.2025.v6.i3.5\text{-}13}$

История статьи:

Статья поступила в редакцию: 17.05.2025 Отправлена на доработку: 18.06.2025 Принята для печати: 01.09.2025



Copyright: © 2025 by AcademyGate Publishing. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the CC BY-NC 4.0. For details on this license, please visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

¹ Доктор философии по географии,

1.Introduction

Language is universally recognized as a vital tool for human communication. In the era of globalization, foreign language proficiency has gained significance across fields such as diplomacy, business, education, and digital communication. As demands evolve, foreign language instruction must shift from rote grammar and vocabulary memorization to communication-based, context-sensitive teaching.

Traditionally, language teaching was often associated with the memorization of grammar rules and vocabulary lists, frequently disconnected from real-world usage. However, contemporary approaches emphasize communication, context, and learner engagement. Practical foreign language courses are central to this pedagogical evolution. Their effectiveness hinges not only on materials and activities but also on robust theoretical and methodological foundations. These include behaviorist, cognitive, and communicative theories, alongside approaches such as task-based learning and technology integration [Brown, 2007; Ellis, 2003; Nunan, 2004]. This paper explores these foundations and offers insights into curriculum design and practical implementation.

2. Theoretical Foundations of Foreign Language Instruction

Understanding the theoretical bases of language acquisition is essential for designing effective foreign language curricula. Language learning theories provide insight into how learners process, internalize, and produce a new language. Over the decades, several key paradigms have influenced the development of language education, each offering a unique lens through which the teaching—learning process can be understood.

One of the earliest influential models was the behaviorist theory, which dominated language teaching during the mid-20th century. This perspective, rooted in the work of psychologists such as B. F. Skinner, views language learning as a process of habit formation [Skinner, 1957]. Through repetition, memorization, and positive reinforcement, learners acquire language patterns in a mechanical and structured way. Although now considered somewhat outdated, behaviorist principles still influence aspects of drill-based instruction, especially in the early stages of language acquisition.

In contrast, the cognitive theory of language learning, which emerged in the latter half of the 20th century, shifted focus to internal mental processes. According to cognitive linguists like Noam Chomsky, learners possess an innate ability to acquire language through mental representations, hypothesis testing, and rule formation [Chomsky, 1965]. This theory emphasizes the learner's active role in constructing knowledge and understanding grammatical structures, rather than passively imitating patterns. Cognitive theory laid the groundwork for learner-centered methodologies that value understanding over memorization.

More recently, the communicative approach has gained prominence as a comprehensive and practical model for modern language instruction. Unlike behaviorist and cognitive models, which focus primarily on form or internal process, the communicative approach prioritizes function - using language as a medium for interaction. This theory assumes that successful language acquisition occurs when learners are exposed to meaningful communication in real contexts. As a result, classroom practices emphasize fluency, negotiation of meaning, cultural awareness, and real-life simulations over isolated grammar instruction.

In addition to these theories, internationally recognized frameworks such as the Common European Framework of Reference for Languages (CEFR) have further influenced how language competence is conceptualized. CEFR outlines progressive levels (A1 to C2) across four core skills - listening, speaking, reading, and writing - providing a standardized scale for instructional planning, learner assessment, and course design [Council of Europe, 2020].

Theoretical models serve not only as the philosophical foundation of language teaching but also as practical guides for shaping educational decisions. They inform how language is viewed - whether as behavior, cognition, or communication-and determine what is prioritized in the classroom. A well-informed theoretical orientation thus contributes to more effective and goal-oriented foreign language instruction.

3.Methodological Approaches in Practice

While theoretical models explain how language is learned, methodological approaches focus on how it is taught. Methodology bridges theory and classroom practice, guiding teachers in their selection of strategies, techniques, and materials. Over time, various teaching methods have emerged, each influenced by dominant educational theories, cultural contexts, and technological advancements. In modern foreign language education, eclecticism-the strategic integration of multiple approaches - has become increasingly favored.

Historically, the Grammar-Translation Method (GTM) was one of the first formal approaches used in foreign language classrooms. Originating in the 19th century, GTM emphasized grammatical accuracy, vocabulary memorization, and translation of classical texts. The method trained learners to read and write in the target language but largely neglected speaking and listening skills. Despite its limitations, GTM is still used in some academic and examination-focused environments due to its structured and predictable format [Richards & Rodgers, 2014].

The Audiolingual Method (ALM) emerged in the 1940s and 1950s, heavily influenced by behaviorist learning theory and the needs of military language programs during World War II. It relied on repetition, mimicry, and drills to instill correct language patterns, aiming to develop speaking and listening skills through automatic responses. While ALM contributed significantly to the development of oral fluency techniques, its overemphasis on mechanical practice and neglect of communicative meaning eventually led to its decline in popularity [Richards & Rodgers, 2014; Skinner, 1957].

In contrast, the Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) approach, which gained momentum in the 1970s and continues to dominate modern pedagogy, prioritizes real-life communication and student interaction [Richards & Rodgers, 2014]. It encourages learners to use the language in meaningful contexts, promoting both fluency and accuracy. CLT supports activities such as role plays, group discussions, interviews, problem-solving tasks, and games. Grammar is taught inductively - embedded within communication - rather than as an isolated subject.

Building upon CLT, Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) emphasizes the completion of meaningful tasks as the central unit of planning and instruction. Tasks can range from writing an email to planning a trip or participating in a debate [Nunan, 2004]. The rationale behind TBLT is that language is best acquired when learners are focused on achieving a concrete outcome, not on language form itself. This approach fosters autonomy, creativity, and critical thinking while promoting communicative competence.

Additionally, the rise of digital learning environments has expanded the methodological landscape. Blended learning combines face-to-face instruction with online components, offering greater flexibility and access to resources. Flipped classrooms reverse the traditional learning model by presenting instructional content online outside of class and using classroom time for practice and interaction [Larsen-Freeman & Anderson, 2011]. These technology-enhanced models are particularly effective in large, diverse, or time-constrained learning contexts.

Modern language pedagogy also recognizes the importance of differentiated instruction, where methods are tailored to meet learners' individual needs, interests, and proficiency levels. Teachers are encouraged to use a variety of resources-from textbooks

and audio recordings to online platforms like Quizlet, Padlet, Vocaroo, or Google Docs-to enrich the learning experience and accommodate multiple learning styles.

In summary, the methodological evolution of foreign language teaching reflects a shift from teacher-centered, grammar-focused instruction to learner-centered, communication-oriented approaches. Effective methodology today is flexible, responsive, and informed by both theoretical insight and classroom realities. The integration of traditional methods with modern innovations enables educators to create more dynamic, inclusive, and goal-oriented language learning environments.

4. Curriculum Design and Program Analysis

A well-structured curriculum is the backbone of effective foreign language instruction. It serves as a road map that outlines learning objectives, instructional content, methodological principles, and assessment strategies. While theoretical and methodological foundations shape the philosophy of language education, the curriculum translates these foundations into actionable learning experiences. Therefore, aligning curriculum design with communicative goals and learner needs is critical to ensuring program effectiveness and learner achievement [Brown, 2007; Council of Europe, 2020].

An ideal foreign language curriculum is built upon several essential components. These include:

- Clearly defined learning outcomes, aligned with communicative competencies and proficiency levels (e.g., CEFR standards) [Council of Europe, 2020];
- A coherent sequence of instructional content, covering phonology, grammar, vocabulary, functional language, and cultural context [Larsen-Freeman, & Anderson, 2011];
- Integration of the four language skills (listening, speaking, reading, and writing) through task-based and context-rich activities [Ellis, 2003; Nunan, 2004];
- Instructional methodologies that support active learning, learner autonomy, and interaction [Krashen, 1982; Richards & Rodgers, 2014];
- Assessment tools that measure not only grammatical knowledge but also practical communicative performance [Brown, 2007];
- Supplementary materials and technology integration, such as digital platforms, mobile apps, and multimedia content [Thornbury, 2006].

Despite the availability of such frameworks, a review of current foreign language programs reveals certain inconsistencies and shortcomings. For instance, in some university-level English language syllabus developed for philology or education faculties, course descriptions often outline general goals such as "improving students' communication skills" or "expanding vocabulary," but they fail to specify how these outcomes are to be achieved. The absence of a transparent link between objectives, content, and teaching methodology can lead to confusion in both instructional delivery and student expectations.

A comparative analysis of established programs - such as the one developed by A.P. Starkov for Roman-Germanic philology departments-demonstrates partial adherence to theoretical and methodological best practices. While Starkov's curriculum outlines language skills and content areas, it only indirectly reflects theoretical orientation, making it difficult for educators to grasp the rationale behind the instructional design. Similarly, methodological principles are presented without sufficient justification or reference to communicative goals [Larsen-Freeman & Anderson, 2011; Richards & Rodgers, 2014].

In contrast, the program designed by N. M. Prigorovskaya and V. B. Gorak offers a more comprehensive and systematic approach. Their curriculum begins with a clear explanation of the practical, educational, and developmental aims of foreign language learning, followed by a detailed breakdown of skill development expectations. For example, by the end of the fifth academic year, students are expected to understand spoken English,

express themselves fluently and accurately in both spoken and written forms, and comprehend original texts. These objectives are then supported by a list of language activities designed to build the required competencies [Council of Europe, 2020; Krashen, 1982].

However, even well-developed programs sometimes present instructional content (such as grammar, phonetics, and vocabulary) in isolation, rather than in an integrated communicative context. Often, course content is divided into sections such as "grammar and syntax", "lexical units", "phonetics", and "home reading", without clear explanations of how these elements contribute to communicative goals. As a result, students may struggle to transfer linguistic knowledge to real-life interactions.

Furthermore, in many cases, methodological guidance is either too vague or absent. While some syllabus mention learner-centered approaches or emphasize communicative practice, they do not provide specific strategies or task types to achieve those ends. This gap underscores the need for a curriculum that not only lists what to teach but also explains how and why each component contributes to language development.

In addition, language programs rarely include explicit references to the role of learners' native language in the acquisition process. For example, Starkov's program cautions against using the native language to prevent interference, a view that conflicts with more recent research supporting strategic use of L1 (the native language) to facilitate understanding and comparison. Today, the use of contrastive analysis and translanguaging is considered beneficial in many multilingual classrooms.

Ultimately, curriculum design should reflect both the general aims of foreign language education in a national context and the specific goals of each course. Course content should be selected and sequenced in a way that facilitates the achievement of these goals, while also ensuring alignment with broader educational standards. A successful program makes the relationships between objectives, content, methodology, and outcomes explicit and coherent.

5.Recommendations for Enhancing Practical Foreign Language Courses

A well-structured curriculum is the backbone of effective foreign language instruction. It serves as a road map that outlines learning objectives, instructional content, methodological principles, and assessment strategies. While theoretical and methodological foundations shape the philosophy of language education, the curriculum translates these foundations into actionable learning experiences. Therefore, aligning curriculum design with communicative goals and learner needs is critical to ensuring program effectiveness and learner achievement.

An ideal foreign language curriculum is built upon several essential components. These include:

- Clearly defined learning outcomes, aligned with communicative competencies and proficiency levels (e.g., CEFR standards);
- A coherent sequence of instructional content, covering phonology, grammar, vocabulary, functional language, and cultural context;
- Integration of the four language skills (listening, speaking, reading, and writing) through task-based and context-rich activities;
- Instructional methodologies that support active learning, learner autonomy, and interaction;
- Assessment tools that measure not only grammatical knowledge but also practical communicative performance;
- Supplementary materials and technology integration, such as digital platforms, mobile apps, and multimedia content.

ISSN: 2790-0029 (Print) ISSN: 2790-0037 (Online)

Despite the availability of such frameworks, a review of current foreign language programs reveals certain inconsistencies and shortcomings. For instance, in some university-level English language syllabus developed for philology or education faculties, course descriptions often outline general goals such as "improving students" communication skills" or "expanding vocabulary", but they fail to specify how these outcomes are to be achieved. The absence of a transparent link between objectives, content, and teaching methodology can lead to confusion in both instructional delivery and student expectations.

A comparative analysis of established programs-such as the one developed by A.P. Starkov for Roman-Germanic philology departments-demonstrates partial adherence to theoretical and methodological best practices. While Starkov's curriculum outlines language skills and content areas, it only indirectly reflects theoretical orientation, making it difficult for educators to grasp the rationale behind the instructional design. Similarly, methodological principles are presented without sufficient justification or reference to communicative goals.

In contrast, the program designed by N.M. Prigorovskaya and V.B. Gorak offers a more comprehensive and systematic approach. Their curriculum begins with a clear explanation of the practical, educational, and developmental aims of foreign language learning, followed by a detailed breakdown of skill development expectations. For example, by the end of the fifth academic year, students are expected to understand spoken English, express themselves fluently and accurately in both spoken and written forms, and comprehend original texts. These objectives are then supported by a list of language activities designed to build the required competencies.

However, even well-developed programs sometimes present instructional content (such as grammar, phonetics, and vocabulary) in isolation, rather than in an integrated communicative context. Often, course content is divided into sections such as "grammar and syntax," "lexical units," "phonetics," and "home reading," without clear explanations of how these elements contribute to communicative goals. As a result, students may struggle to transfer linguistic knowledge to real-life interactions.

Furthermore, in many cases, methodological guidance is either too vague or entirely absent. While some syllabus mention learner-centered approaches or emphasize communicative practice, they do not provide specific strategies or task types to achieve those ends. This gap underscores the need for a curriculum that not only lists what to teach but also explains how and why each component contributes to language development.

In addition, language programs rarely include explicit references to the role of learners' native language in the acquisition process. For example, Starkov's program cautions against using the native language to prevent interference, a view that conflicts with more recent research supporting strategic use of L1 (the native language) to facilitate understanding and comparison. Today, the use of contrastive analysis and translanguaging is considered beneficial in many multilingual classrooms.

Ultimately, curriculum design should reflect both the general aims of foreign language education in a national context and the specific goals of each course. Course content should be selected and sequenced in a way that facilitates the achievement of these goals, while also ensuring alignment with broader educational standards. A successful program makes the relationships between objectives, content, methodology, and outcomes explicit and coherent.

6.Conclusion

The teaching of foreign languages has undergone a significant transformation over the past century, evolving from rigid, grammar-based instruction to dynamic, communicative, and learner-centered approaches. This shift reflects a growing recognition of language as a

functional tool for interaction in an interconnected world, rather than a purely academic discipline. Consequently, practical foreign language courses must be grounded in robust theoretical and methodological frameworks to meet the diverse needs of 21st-century learners.

As this article has demonstrated, effective foreign language instruction begins with a clear understanding of language learning theories-behaviorist, cognitive, and communicative-which each contribute unique insights into how individuals acquire and use language. These theories must inform the selection of teaching methods and classroom practices. In parallel, curriculum design must go beyond listing topics or skills; it must articulate learning objectives, integrate the four key language skills, promote cultural competence, and align content with both theoretical models and real-world communication goals.

Analysis of current language programs reveals inconsistencies in how objectives, methodologies, and instructional content are articulated and connected. Some syllabus lack transparency in their theoretical orientation or fail to provide teachers with concrete methodological guidance. Others neglect to adapt to evolving pedagogical standards or technological innovations. These shortcomings limit the potential of even well-intention programs and hinder student progress.

To address these issues, a set of recommendations was proposed - including the clarification of learning outcomes, stronger theoretical-methodological alignment, the integration of digital tools, and the inclusion of intercultural learning. Most importantly, language programs must be continually revised and refined based on empirical research, classroom experience, and student feedback.

Ultimately, the success of practical foreign language courses depends on their ability to foster communicative competence in authentic and engaging ways. By embedding clear objectives, coherent structure, and flexible, learner-centered methodologies, educators can create trans-formative learning environments that not only build linguistic skills but also empower learners to navigate the globalized world with confidence and cultural sensitivity.

7.REFERENCES

- **1.** Brown, H. D. (2007). *Principles of Language Learning and Teaching* (5th ed.). Pearson Education, 45–67. (in English)
- **2.** Chomsky, N. (1965). Aspects of the Theory of Syntax. MIT Press. (in English)
- **3.** Council of Europe. (2020). *Common European Framework of Reference for Languages: Learning, Teaching, Assessment Companion Volume.* Council of Europe Publishing, 12–35. (in English)
- **4.** Ellis, R. (2003). *Task-based Language Learning and Teaching*. Oxford University Press, 60–85. (in English)
- **5.** Krashen, S. D. (1982). *Principles and Practice in Second Language Acquisition*. Pergamon Press, 18–40. (in English)
- **6.** Larsen-Freeman, D., & Anderson, M. (2011). *Techniques and Principles in Language Teaching* (3rd ed.). Oxford University Press, 110–135. (in English)
- 7. Nunan, D. (2004). *Task-based Language Teaching*. Cambridge University Press, 95–120. (in English)
- **8.** Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2014). *Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press, 50–75. (in English)
- 9. Skinner, B. F. (1957). Verbal Behavior. Appleton-Century-Crofts. (in English)
- **10.** Thornbury, S. (2006). An A–Z of ELT: A Dictionary of Terms and Concepts Used in English Language Teaching. Macmillan Education, 25–40. (in English)